COMPONENT, DUPLICATECOMPONENTS, COST, and RMA ATTRIBUTES REPORT

February 26, 1998

9:55:55 am

Prepared By:

System User

TABLE 1 Component: 1 Benchmark 1 SAR - Candidate A

TABLE 1 Component: 1 Benchmark 1 SAR - Candidate A					
COMPONENT		COST		RMA	
	System User		ystem User		System User
	11 October 1994	Creation Date 1.	•	Creation Date	
	8 November 1996	Modification Date 5		Modification Date	
Modification Time	-		996	Modification Time	_
Number		Modification Time 9:	:31:48 pm	Number	1
Abbreviation		Number 1		Abbreviation	
Component Type		Abbreviation		Allow RMA Quantity	No
	High Level Assembly	COST UNIT DO	OLLARS	Request	
SW, Percent of Processor Utilization		Purchased Item		Availability predicted	
Design Source		Development (budgeted)		Reliability predicted	0.992359
Percent New Design		Development (predicted) 19	956930	MTBCF, budgeted (hrs)	
Duplicate - Used in other assemblies	No	Development Sensitivity		MTBCF, predicted (hrs)	
Quantity in Next Higher Assembly	1	Amortized Unit Production (budgeted)		MTBF, budgeted (hrs)	2400.0
Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry)		Amortized Unit Production (predicted) 18	89749.0	Optimized MTBF (hrs)	
Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate		Unit Production (budgeted)		MTBF Optimization Criteria	
Redundancy)		Unit Production (predicted) 18	89749.0	MTBF, predicted (hrs)	1714.29
Redundancy Mode		Total Production Quantity 50		Method used for MTBF	
Length, budgeted (ft)		Production (budgeted)		predicted	
Length, predicted (ft)		Production (predicted) 10	00973367	LRU, Line Replaceable Unit	No
Width, budgeted (ft)		Production Cost Sensitivity		Maintenance Procedure	
Width, predicted (ft)		Operational (budgeted)		Maintenance Concept	
Depth, budgeted (ft)		Operational (predicted)		Requested for Costing	
Depth, predicted (ft)		Operational Cost Sensitivity		Maintenance Concept Used	
Volume Sensitivity		Support (budgeted)		for Costing	
		11 , 0 ,	0555147	<u> </u>	
Weight, budgeted (lbs)		Support (predicted) 39	9555147	MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs)	16 2425
Weight, predicted (lbs)		Support Cost Sensitivity		MTTR, line, predicted (hrs)	
Weight Sensitivity		Title			THESE MTTR
Power(avg), budgeted (watts)					VALUES ARE
Power(avg), predicted (watts)					POPULATED BY
Power(max), budgeted (watts)					MSI FOR USE BY
Power(max), predicted (watts)					PRICE
Power Sensitivity				MTTR LRU ORG (Tf)	
Technology Maturity	Leading Edge			MTTR Module ORG (Tmo)	
Technology Type 1				MTTR LRU IL (Ti)	
Equipment Type 1				MTTR Module IL (Tmi)	
Percent of Technology and Equipment 1				MTTR LRU Depot (Td)	
Technology Type 2				MTTR Module Depot (Tmd)	
Equipment Type 2				Project Unique ID	
Percent of Technology and Equipment 2				Title	
Technology Type 3					
Equipment Type 3					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 3					
Technology Type 4					
Equipment Type 4					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 4					
Technology Type 5					
Equipment Type 5					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 5					
SLOC, Source Lines of Code					
Percent of Memory Utilization					
Percent of Processor Utilization					
Language					
Percent New Code					
Mathematics (1)					
String Manipulation (2)					
Store and Retrieve (4)					
Online Communications (6)					
Real Time (8)					
Operating System or Interactive (10)					
User Defined Type (value below)					
Design Difficulty Value for User Defined					
Project Unique ID					
	Benchmark 1 SAR				
Title					

2

TABLE 2 Component: 1.1 Data I/O Assembly

TABLE 2 Component: 1.1 Data I/O Assembly				
COMPONENT		COST	RMA	
Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number Abbreviation Component Type Component Sub Type SW, Percent of Processor Utilization Design Source Percent New Design Duplicate - Used in other assemblies Quantity in Next Higher Assembly Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry) Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate Redundancy) Redundancy Mode Length, budgeted (ft) Length, predicted (ft) Width, budgeted (ft) Width, predicted (ft) Depth, budgeted (ft) Depth, predicted (ft) Volume Sensitivity Weight, budgeted (lbs)	System User 12 October 1994 1 August 1997 8:49:48 am 1.1 HW Element Multiple Board Assembly No 2 2 1 Operational, Off Line replacement 0.525 0.125 0.767 4.0		RMA Author System User Creation Date 7 July 1995 Modification Date 21 November 1996 Modification Time 12:37:03 pm Number 1.1 Abbreviation Allow RMA Quantity Yes Request Availability predicted 1.0 Reliability predicted 0.999996 MTBCF, budgeted (hrs) MTBCF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, budgeted (hrs) Optimized MTBF (hrs) MTBF Optimization Criteria MTBF, predicted (hrs) 10000.0 Method used for MTBF predicted LRU, Line Replaceable Unit No Maintenance Procedure Maintenance Concept Requested for Costing MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs) MTTR, line, predicted (hrs) MTTR, line, predicted (hrs) MTTR, line, predicted (hrs) MTTR, line, predicted (hrs) THESE MTTR VALUES ARE	
Length, budgeted (ft) Length, predicted (ft) Width, budgeted (ft) Width, predicted (ft) Depth, budgeted (ft) Depth, budgeted (ft) Depth, predicted (ft) Depth, predicted (ft) Volume Sensitivity Weight, budgeted (lbs) Weight, predicted (lbs) Weight, predicted (lbs) Weight Sensitivity Power(avg), budgeted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(max), budgeted (watts) Power (max), predicted (watts) Power Sensitivity Technology Maturity Technology Type 1 Equipment Type 1 Percent of Technology and Equipment 1 Technology Type 2 Equipment Type 2 Percent of Technology and Equipment 2 Technology Type 3 Equipment Type 3 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3	replacement 0.525 0.125 0.767 4.0 30.0 Leading Edge	Production (predicted) 12060156 Production Cost Sensitivity 4 Operational (budgeted) Operational (predicted) Operational Cost Sensitivity Support (budgeted) Support (predicted) 5270296 Support Cost Sensitivity 3 Title	LRU, Line Replaceable Unit No Maintenance Procedure Maintenance Concept Requested for Costing Maintenance Concept Used for Costing MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs) MTTR, line, predicted (hrs) THESE MTTR	
Technology Type 4 Equipment Type 4 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4 Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5 Percent of Technology and Equipment 5 SLOC, Source Lines of Code Percent of Memory Utilization Percent of Processor Utilization Language Percent New Code Mathematics (1) String Manipulation (2) Store and Retrieve (4) Online Communications (6) Real Time (8) Operating System or Interactive (10) User Defined Type (value below) Design Difficulty Value for User Defined Project Unique ID				

TABLE 3 Component: 1.1.1 Data I/O Module

		omponent: 1.1.1 Data I/C	Wioduic		
COMPONENT		COST		RMA	
Author	System User	Author	System User	Author	System User
Creation Date	1 July 1995	Creation Date	1 July 1995	Creation Date	7 July 1995
Modification Date	25 July 1997	Modification Date	5 March	Modification Date	
Modification Time	9:37:42 am		1996	Modification Time	3:25:36 pm
Number	1.1.1	Modification Time	9:32:09 pm	Number	1.1.1
Abbreviation		Number	1.1.1	Abbreviation	
Component Type	HW Element	Abbreviation		Allow RMA Quantity	No
Component Sub Type		COST UNIT	DOLLARS	Request	
SW, Percent of Processor Utilization		Purchased Item		Availability predicted	0.0
Design Source	New	Development (budgeted)		Reliability predicted	
Percent New Design	75	Development (predicted)	390755	MTBCF, budgeted (hrs)	
Duplicate - Used in other assemblies	No	Development Sensitivity		MTBCF, predicted (hrs)	
Quantity in Next Higher Assembly		Amortized Unit Production (budgeted)		MTBF, budgeted (hrs)	30000.0
Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry)		Amortized Unit Production (predicted)	5245.0	Optimized MTBF (hrs)	
ty Read for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate		Unit Production (budgeted)		MTBF Optimization Criteria	
Redundancy)		Unit Production (predicted)	4573.0	MTBF, predicted (hrs)	30000.0
Redundancy Mode		Total Production Quantity		Method used for MTBF	20000.0
Length, budgeted (ft)		Production (budgeted)	20000	predicted	
Length, predicted (ft)		Production (predicted)	5721167	LRU, Line Replaceable Unit	Ves
Width, budgeted (ft)			J/4110/	-	103
	0.0045	Production Cost Sensitivity		Maintenance Procedure	
Width, predicted (ft)	0.7/7	Operational (budgeted)		Maintenance Concept	
Depth, budgeted (ft)		Operational (predicted)		Requested for Costing	
Depth, predicted (ft)		Operational Cost Sensitivity		Maintenance Concept Used	
Volume Sensitivity		Support (budgeted)		for Costing	EQP. Scrap bad
Weight, budgeted (lbs)	1.5	Support (predicted)	2240123		mods.
Weight, predicted (lbs)		Support Cost Sensitivity		MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs)	1.0
Weight Sensitivity		Title		MTTR, line, predicted (hrs)	
Power(avg), budgeted (watts)	15.0			*	THESE MTTR
Power(avg), predicted (watts)					VALUES ARE
Power(max), budgeted (watts)					POPULATED B
Power(max), predicted (watts)					MSI FOR USE I
Power Sensitivity					PRICE
Technology Maturity	State of the Art			MTTR LRU ORG (Tf)	
Technology Type 1				MTTR Module ORG (Tmo)	
Equipment Type 1				MTTR LRU IL (Ti)	
Percent of Technology and Equipment 1				MTTR Module IL (Tmi)	
Technology Type 2				MTTR LRU Depot (Td)	
Equipment Type 2				MTTR Module Depot (Tmd)	
Percent of Technology and Equipment 2				Project Unique ID	
Technology Type 3				Title	
Equipment Type 3				Title	
Percent of Technology and Equipment 3					
	3				
Technology Type 4					
Equipment Type 4					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 4					
Technology Type 5					
Equipment Type 5					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 5					
SLOC, Source Lines of Code					
Percent of Memory Utilization					
Percent of Processor Utilization					
Language					
Percent New Code					
Mathematics (1)					
String Manipulation (2)					
Store and Retrieve (4)					
Online Communications (6)					
Real Time (8)					
Operating System or Interactive (10)					
User Defined Type (value below)					
Design Difficulty Value for User Defined					
Project Unique ID					
	Data I/O Module				
	Data I/O MIUUUR	İ		İ	

TABLE 4 Component: 1.1.2 Fiber Optic Interface

	TABLE 4 Con	iponent: 1.1.2 Fiber Opti			
COMPONENT		COST		RMA	
Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number Abbreviation Component Type Component Sub Type SW, Percent of Processor Utilization Design Source Percent New Design Duplicate - Used in other assemblies Quantity in Next Higher Assembly Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry) Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate Redundancy) Redundancy Mode Length, budgeted (ft) Length, predicted (ft) Width, predicted (ft) Width, predicted (ft) Depth, budgeted (ft) Depth, predicted (ft) Volume Sensitivity Weight, budgeted (lbs) Weight, predicted (lbs) Weight, predicted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(max), budgeted (watts) Power Sensitivity Technology Maturity Technology Type 1 Equipment Type 1 Percent of Technology and Equipment 1 Technology Type 2 Equipment Type 2 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3 Technology Type 3 Equipment Type 3 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3 Technology Type 4 Equipment Type 4 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4 Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5 Percent of Technology and Equipment 5 SLOC, Source Lines of Code Percent of Memory Utilization Percent of Processor Utilization Language	System User 1 July 1995 17 October 1996 9:52:18 pm 1.1.2 HW Element Board COTS No 1 0.417 0.0625 0.3125 0.6 10.0 Mature VLSI Digital 50 LSI Analog RF/Video 50	COST	System User 1 July 1995 5 March 1996 9:32:11 pm 1.1.2 DOLLARS 3675.0 7350 3675.0 1000.0 4078156	RMA Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number Abbreviation Allow RMA Quantity Request Availability predicted Reliability predicted MTBCF, budgeted (hrs) MTBCF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, budgeted (hrs) Optimized MTBF (hrs) MTBF Optimization Criteria MTBF, predicted (hrs) Method used for MTBF predicted LRU, Line Replaceable Unit Maintenance Procedure Maintenance Concept Requested for Costing Maintenance Concept Used for Costing MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs) MTTR, line, predicted (hrs)	18 October 1996 12:17:32 pm 1.1.2 No 30000.0 30000.0 Yes Replace mods at EQP. Scrap bad mods.
Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5 Percent of Technology and Equipment 5 SLOC, Source Lines of Code Percent of Memory Utilization Percent of Processor Utilization					

TABLE 5 Component: 1.1.3 FIR Daughter Card

TABLE 6 Component: 1.2 Processing Element Assembly

TABLE 6 Component: 1.2 Processing Element Assembly					
COMPONENT		COST		RMA	
	System User		System User		System User
	11 October 1994	Creation Date	•	Creation Date	•
	8 November 1996	Modification Date		Modification Date	•
Modification Time	-		1996	Modification Time	_
Number Abbreviation		Modification Time Number		Number Abbreviation	1.2
Component Type		Abbreviation	1.2	Allow RMA Quantity	Voc
Component Sub Type		COST UNIT	DOLLARS	Request	165
component sub Type	Assembly	Purchased Item	2022:110	Availability predicted	0.994018
SW, Percent of Processor Utilization	•	Development (budgeted)		Reliability predicted	
Design Source		Development (predicted)	678498	MTBCF, budgeted (hrs)	
Percent New Design		Development Sensitivity		MTBCF, predicted (hrs)	3333.33
Duplicate - Used in other assemblies		Amortized Unit Production (budgeted)		MTBF, budgeted (hrs)	16700.0
Quantity in Next Higher Assembly		Amortized Unit Production (predicted)	30403.0	Optimized MTBF (hrs)	
Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry)		Unit Production (budgeted)	20.402.0	MTBF Optimization Criteria	
Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate		Unit Production (predicted)		MTBF, predicted (hrs)	16666.7
Redundancy)	Operational, Off Line	Total Production Quantity Production (budgeted)	2500.0	Method used for MTBF	
Reduildancy Wode	replacement	Production (predicted)	80416125	predicted LRU, Line Replaceable Unit	No
Length, budgeted (ft)	•	Production Cost Sensitivity	00710123	Maintenance Procedure	110
Length, predicted (ft)		Operational (budgeted)		Maintenance Concept	
Width, budgeted (ft)		Operational (predicted)		Requested for Costing	
Width, predicted (ft)		Operational Cost Sensitivity		Maintenance Concept Used	
Depth, budgeted (ft)	0.767	Support (budgeted)		for Costing	
Depth, predicted (ft)		Support (predicted)	31408847	MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs)	
Volume Sensitivity		Support Cost Sensitivity		MTTR, line, predicted (hrs)	
Weight, budgeted (lbs)		Title		*	THESE MTTR
Weight, predicted (lbs)					VALUES ARE
Weight Sensitivity					POPULATED BY
Power(avg), budgeted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts)					MSI FOR USE BY PRICE
Power(max), budgeted (watts)				MTTR LRU ORG (Tf)	TRICE
Power(max), predicted (watts)				MTTR Module ORG (Tmo)	
Power Sensitivity				MTTR LRU IL (Ti)	
Technology Maturity	Mature			MTTR Module IL (Tmi)	
Technology Type 1				MTTR LRU Depot (Td)	
Equipment Type 1				MTTR Module Depot (Tmd)	
Percent of Technology and Equipment 1				Project Unique ID	
Technology Type 2				Title	
Equipment Type 2					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 2					
Technology Type 3 Equipment Type 3					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 3					
Technology Type 4					
Equipment Type 4					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 4					
Technology Type 5					
Equipment Type 5					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 5					
SLOC, Source Lines of Code					
Percent of Memory Utilization					
Percent of Processor Utilization Language					
Percent New Code					
Mathematics (1)					
String Manipulation (2)					
Store and Retrieve (4)					
Online Communications (6)					
Real Time (8)					
Operating System or Interactive (10)					
User Defined Type (value below)					
Design Difficulty Value for User Defined					
Project Unique ID					
Title	Processing Element Assembly				
	Assembly			l .	

TABLE 7 Component: 1.2.1 PE Motherboard

TABLE 7 Component: 1.2.1 PE Motherboard				
COMPONENT		COST	RMA	
Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number Abbreviation Component Type Component Sub Type SW, Percent of Processor Utilization Design Source Percent New Design Duplicate - Used in other assemblies Quantity in Next Higher Assembly Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry) Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate Redundancy) Redundancy Mode Length, budgeted (ft) Length, predicted (ft) Width, budgeted (ft) Width, predicted (ft) Depth, budgeted (ft) Depth, predicted (ft) Volume Sensitivity Weight, predicted (lbs) Weight, predicted (lbs) Weight Sensitivity Power(avg), budgeted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(max), budgeted (watts) Power(max), predicted (watts) Power Sensitivity Technology Type 1 Equipment Type 1 Percent of Technology and Equipment 1 Technology Type 2 Equipment Type 2 Percent of Technology and Equipment 2 Technology Type 3 Equipment Type 3 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4 Technology Type 4 Equipment Type 4 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4 Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4 Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5 Percent of Technology and Equipment 5 SLOC, Source Lines of Code Percent of Memory Utilization Percent of Processor Utilization Language Percent New Code	System User 1 July 1995 17 October 1996 9:56:17 pm 1.2.1 HW Element Board COTS No 1 0.525 0.0625 0.767 1.0 8.0 Mature VLSI Digital 100		RMA Author System User y 1995 Arch Modification Date Modification Time 12:19:16 pm Number 1.2.1 Abbreviation Allow RMA Quantity Request Availability predicted Reliability predicted MTBCF, budgeted (hrs) MTBCF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, budgeted (hrs) MTBF Optimized MTBF (hrs) MTBF Optimization Criteria MTBF, predicted MTBF, predicted MTBF, predicted MTBF Method used for MTBF predicted LRU, Line Replaceable Unit Maintenance Procedure Maintenance Concept Requested for Costing Mintenance Concept Used for Costing Maintenance Concept Used for Costing Maintenance Concept Used for Costing Method System User Author Modification Date 7 July 1995 18 October 1996 18 October 1996 18 October 1996 18 October 1996 18 October 1996 19 October 1996 19 October 1996 19 October 1996 19 October 1996 19 October 1996 19 October 1996 19 October 1996 19 October 1996 19 October 1996 19 October 1996 18 October 1996 19 October 19 October 19 10 October 1996 19 October 19 October 19 10 October 19 October 19 10 October 19 October 19 10 October 19 October 1	
Percent of Memory Utilization Percent of Processor Utilization Language				

TABLE 8 Component: 1.2.2 PE Daughterboard

TABLE 8 Component: 1.2.2 PE Daughterboard					
COMPONENT		COST		RMA	
Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number Abbreviation Component Type Component Sub Type SW, Percent of Processor Utilization Design Source Percent New Design Duplicate - Used in other assemblies Quantity in Next Higher Assembly Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry) Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate Redundancy) Redundancy Mode Length, budgeted (ft) Length, predicted (ft) Width, budgeted (ft) Depth, budgeted (ft) Depth, budgeted (ft) Depth, predicted (ft) Weight, predicted (ft) Weight, predicted (ft) Volume Sensitivity Weight, predicted (lbs) Weight, predicted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(max), budgeted (watts) Power(max), predicted (watts) Power(max), predicted (watts) Power (max), predicted (max) P	System User 1 July 1995 1 October 1996 9:45:24 am 1.2.2 HW Element Board COTS No 2 0.454 0.0625 0.358 0.4 10.0 Mature VLSI Digital 100	COST Author Sy Creation Date 1. Modification Date 5	System User July 1995 March 996 :32:45 pm .2.2 DOLLARS 1000.0 1000.0 1000.0 8223372	RMA Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number Abbreviation Allow RMA Quantity Request Availability predicted Reliability predicted (hrs) MTBCF, budgeted (hrs) MTBF, budgeted (hrs) Optimized MTBF (hrs) MTBF Optimization Criteria MTBF, predicted (hrs) Method used for MTBF predicted LRU, Line Replaceable Unit Maintenance Procedure Maintenance Concept Requested for Costing Maintenance Concept Used for Costing MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs) MTTR, line, predicted (hrs)	5 March 1996 9:37:02 pm 1.2.2 No 50000.0 50000.0 Yes Replace mods at EQP. Scrap bad mods.
Equipment Type 4 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4 Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5					
Online Communications (6) Real Time (8) Operating System or Interactive (10) User Defined Type (value below) Design Difficulty Value for User Defined Project Unique ID Title					

TABLE 9 Component: 1.2.3 Signal Processing Firmware

	1, 1				
	G . **				
COMPONENT	System User 18 October 1994 16 October 1996 6:01:58 pm 1.2.3 FWCI n/a New 50 No 1	COST Author Syst Creation Date 1 Ju Modification Date 1996 Modification Time 11:3. Abbreviation COST UNIT Purchased Item Development (budgeted) Development (predicted) Development Sensitivity Amortized Unit Production (predicted) Unit Production (predicted) Unit Production (predicted) Unit Production (predicted) Production (predicted) Operational (budgeted) Production (predicted) Operational (budgeted) Operational (budgeted) Operational (predicted) Operational (predicted) Support (predicted) Support (predicted) Support Cost Sensitivity Title	stem User (uly 1995 October 96 :34:57 am 3 DLLARS	RMA Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number Abbreviation Allow RMA Quantity Request Availability predicted Reliability predicted MTBCF, budgeted (hrs) MTBCF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, budgeted (hrs) Optimized MTBF (hrs) MTBF Optimization Criteria MTBF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, predicted (hrs) MEBF, predicted (hrs) MIDEN MIDEN MEDICAL MIDEN MEDICAL MIDEN MEDICAL MIDEN MEDICAL MIDEN MEDICAL MIDEN MEDICAL MIDEN MEDICAL MIDEN MEDICAL MIDEN MEDICAL MIDEN MEDICAL MEDI	18 October 1996 12:19:51 pm 1.2.3
Technology Type 1 Equipment Type 1 Percent of Technology and Equipment 1 Technology Type 2 Equipment Type 2 Percent of Technology and Equipment 2 Technology Type 3 Equipment Type 3 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3 Technology Type 4				MTTR LRU IL (Ti) MTTR Module IL (Tmi) MTTR LRU Depot (Td) MTTR Module Depot (Tmd) Project Unique ID	
Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5 Percent of Technology and Equipment 5 SLOC, Source Lines of Code Percent of Memory Utilization Percent of Processor Utilization Language Percent New Code Mathematics (1) String Manipulation (2) Store and Retrieve (4) Online Communications (6) Real Time (8)	50 50 C 100				
Operating System or Interactive (10) User Defined Type (value below) Design Difficulty Value for User Defined Project Unique ID Title	Signal Processing Firmware				

TABLE 10 Component: 1.3 Host Interface Assembly

TABLE 11 Component: 1.3.1 Host Interface Module

TABLE 11 Component: 1.3.1 Host Interface Module				
COMPONENT	COST	RMA		
	Author System User Creation Date 1 July 1995 Modification Date 5 March 1996 Modification Time 9:33:36 pm Number 1.3.1 Abbreviation COST UNIT DOLLARS Purchased Item 4995.0 Development (budgeted) Development (predicted) Development Sensitivity Amortized Unit Production (predicted) Unit Production (budgeted) Unit Production (predicted) Unit Production (predicted) Unit Production (predicted) Unit Production (predicted) 4995.0	RMA		

TABLE 12 Component: 1.3.2 Command Program

TABLE 12 Component: 1.3.2 Command Program				
COMPONENT		COST	RMA	
Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number Abbreviation Component Type Component Sub Type SW, Percent of Processor Utilization Design Source Percent New Design Duplicate - Used in other assemblies Quantity in Next Higher Assembly Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry) Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate Redundancy) Redundancy Mode Length, budgeted (ft) Width, predicted (ft) Width, predicted (ft) Width, predicted (ft) Depth, budgeted (ft) Depth, predicted (ft) Volume Sensitivity Weight, budgeted (ft) Volume Sensitivity Weight, predicted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(max), budgeted (watts) Power(max), predicted (watts) Power(max), predicted (watts) Power(max), predicted (watts) Power Sensitivity Technology Maturity Technology Type 1 Equipment Type 1 Percent of Technology and Equipment 1 Technology Type 3 Equipment Type 3 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3 Technology Type 4 Equipment Type 4 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3 Technology Type 4 Equipment Type 5 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4 Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5 Percent of Technology and Equipment 5 SLOC, Source Lines of Code Percent of Memory Utilization	System User 11 October 1994 2 November 1996 11:08:51 pm 1.3.2 FWCI n/a New No 1 Leading Edge		RMA er Author System User 5 Creation Date 12 July 1995 r Modification Date 18 October 1996 Modification Time 12:16:16 pm Number 1.3.2 Abbreviation Allow RMA Quantity	
Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5 Percent of Technology and Equipment 5 SLOC, Source Lines of Code Percent of Memory Utilization Percent of Processor Utilization	3500 50 50			
Language Percent New Code Mathematics (1) String Manipulation (2) Store and Retrieve (4) Online Communications (6) Real Time (8) Operating System or Interactive (10) User Defined Type (value below)	ADA83 100			
Design Difficulty Value for User Defined Project Unique ID	Command Program			

TABLE 13 Component: 1.3.2.1 Initialization Program

TABLE 13 Component: 1.3.2.1 Initialization Program						
COMPONENT		COST	RMA			
COMPONENT	System User 2 July 1995 17 October 1996 9:54:55 pm 1.3.2.1 FWC n/a New 100 No 1 1 Mature		RMA User 1995 Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Modification Time Sam Number Abbreviation Allow RMA Quantity Request Availability predicted Reliability predicted MTBCF, budgeted (hrs) MTBF, predicted (hrs) Optimized MTBF (hrs) MTBF Optimization Criteria MTBF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, predicted (hrs) Method used for MTBF predicted LRU, Line Replaceable Unit Maintenance Procedure Maintenance Procedure Maintenance Concept Requested for Costing Maintenance Concept Used for Costing MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs) MTTR, line, predicted (hrs)	System User 12 July 1995 18 October 1996 12:18:37 pm 1.3.2.1 No 1.0 2.0e31		
SLOC, Source Lines of Code Percent of Memory Utilization Percent of Processor Utilization Language Percent New Code Mathematics (1) String Manipulation (2) Store and Retrieve (4) Online Communications (6) Real Time (8) Operating System or Interactive (10) User Defined Type (value below) Design Difficulty Value for User Defined	50 50 ADA83 100					
Project Unique ID Title						

TABLE 14 Component: 1.3.3.2 Control Program

TABLE 14 Component: 1.5.5.2 Control Program					
COMPONENT		COST		RMA	
COMPONENT Author Creation Date 2 July Modification Date 2 Nome Modification Time 11:03 Number 1.3.3. Abbreviation Component Type Component Sub Type SW, Percent of Processor Utilization Design Source Percent New Design 100 Duplicate - Used in other assemblies No Quantity in Next Higher Assembly 1 Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry) 1 Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate Redundancy) Redundancy Mode Length, budgeted (ft) Length, predicted (ft) Width, budgeted (ft) Width, predicted (ft) Depth, predicted (ft) Volume Sensitivity Weight, predicted (lbs) Weight, predicted (lbs) Weight, predicted (watts) Power(avg), predicted (watts) Power(max), budgeted (watts) Power(max), predicted (watts) Power(max), predicted (watts) Power Sensitivity Technology Maturity Technology Maturity Technology Type 1 Equipment Type 1 Percent of Technology and Equipment 1 Technology Type 3 Equipment Type 3 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3 Technology Type 4 Equipment Type 4 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3 Technology Type 4 Equipment Type 4 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4 Technology Type 5	tem User nly 1995 ovember 1996 03:02 pm 3.2 CC	COST Author Creation Date Modification Date	System User 2 July 1995 18 October 1996 11:31:54 am 1.3.3.2 DOLLARS 177611	RMA Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number Abbreviation Allow RMA Quantity Request Availability predicted Reliability predicted MTBCF, budgeted (hrs) MTBCF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, budgeted (hrs) Optimized MTBF (hrs) MTBF Optimization Criteria MTBF, predicted (hrs) MTBF predicted (hrs) Method used for MTBF predicted LRU, Line Replaceable Unit Maintenance Procedure Maintenance Concept Requested for Costing Maintenance Concept Used for Costing MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs) MTTR, line, predicted (hrs) *	18 October 1996 12:16:43 pm 1.3.3.2 1.0 1.0 2.0e31
Technology Maturity Lead Technology Type 1 Equipment Type 1 Percent of Technology and Equipment 1 Technology Type 2 Equipment Type 2 Percent of Technology and Equipment 2 Technology Type 3 Equipment Type 3 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3 Technology Type 4 Equipment Type 4 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4	0 A83			MTTR Module ORG (Tmo) MTTR LRU IL (Ti) MTTR Module IL (Tmi) MTTR LRU Depot (Td) MTTR Module Depot (Tmd) Project Unique ID	

TABLE 15 Component: 1.3.3.3 Auxiliary Program

	TABLE 15 Component: 1.3.3.3 Auxiliary Program						
COMPONE	T	COST	RMA				
Creation Modification Modification Modification Note Abbreve Component Component Sub SW, Percent of Processor Utili Design S Percent New I Duplicate - Used in other asser Quantity in Next Higher Ass Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Inc Redundancy Length, budget Length, predict Width, predict Uolume Sens Weight, budgete Weight, predicte Weight, predicte Weight, predicte Weight, predicte Weight, predicte Weight, predicte Weight, predicted (Power(avg), predicted (Power(avg), predicted (Power(max), predicted (Power(max), predicted (Power Sens Technology Material Percent of Technology and Equipment Technology Techn	hor System User Date 2 July 1995 Date 17 October 1996 Date 9:45:38 pm Deer 1.3.3.3 Deer 100 D	1	RMA				

TABLE 16 Component: 1.4 Backplane Assembly

TABLE 10 Component: 1.4 Dackplane Assembly						
COMPONENT		COST		RMA		
	System User		System User		System User	
Creation Date	•	Creation Date 1	1 July 1995	Creation Date	7 July 1995	
	26 September 1996	Modification Date 5		Modification Date		
Modification Time	-		1996	Modification Time	-	
Number	1.4	Modification Time 9		Number	1.4	
Abbreviation	*****	Number 1	1.4	Abbreviation	• •	
Component Type		Abbreviation	DOLL A DC	Allow RMA Quantity	No	
Component Sub Type SW, Percent of Processor Utilization	backplane/Cabing	COST UNIT I Purchased Item	DULLARS	Request Availability predicted	0.000813	
Design Source		Development (budgeted)		Reliability predicted		
Percent New Design		Development (predicted) 4	43446	MTBCF, budgeted (hrs)	0.220001	
Duplicate - Used in other assemblies	No	Development Sensitivity		MTBCF, predicted (hrs)	16666.7	
Quantity in Next Higher Assembly		Amortized Unit Production (budgeted)		MTBF, budgeted (hrs)		
Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry)		Amortized Unit Production (predicted) 7	7839.0	Optimized MTBF (hrs)		
Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate		Unit Production (budgeted)		MTBF Optimization Criteria		
Redundancy)		Unit Production (predicted) 7		MTBF, predicted (hrs)	16666.7	
Redundancy Mode		Total Production Quantity 5	500.0	Method used for MTBF		
Length, budgeted (ft)	0.32	Production (budgeted)		predicted		
Length, predicted (ft)	1.4	Production (predicted) 4	4254775	LRU, Line Replaceable Unit	No	
Width, budgeted (ft)	1.4	Production Cost Sensitivity		Maintenance Procedure		
Width, predicted (ft) Depth, budgeted (ft)	0.0	Operational (budgeted)		Maintenance Concept		
	0.8	Operational (predicted)		Requested for Costing		
Depth, predicted (ft) Volume Sensitivity		Operational Cost Sensitivity Support (budgeted)		Maintenance Concept Used for Costing		
Weight, budgeted (lbs)	3.5	Support (budgeted) Support (predicted) 1	1605620	MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs)		
Weight, predicted (lbs)	3.3	Support (predicted) I	1003020	MTTR, line, predicted (hrs)	3.1146	
Weight Sensitivity		Title		-	THESE MTTR	
Power(avg), budgeted (watts)	3.5				VALUES ARE	
Power(avg), predicted (watts)					POPULATED BY	
Power(max), budgeted (watts)					MSI FOR USE BY	
Power(max), predicted (watts)					PRICE	
Power Sensitivity				MTTR LRU ORG (Tf)		
Technology Maturity	Mature			MTTR Module ORG (Tmo)		
Technology Type 1				MTTR LRU IL (Ti)		
Equipment Type 1				MTTR Module IL (Tmi)		
Percent of Technology and Equipment 1 Technology Type 2				MTTR LRU Depot (Td)		
Equipment Type 2				MTTR Module Depot (Tmd) Project Unique ID		
Percent of Technology and Equipment 2				Title		
Technology Type 3				Title		
Equipment Type 3						
Percent of Technology and Equipment 3						
Technology Type 4						
Equipment Type 4						
Percent of Technology and Equipment 4						
Technology Type 5						
Equipment Type 5						
Percent of Technology and Equipment 5						
SLOC, Source Lines of Code						
Percent of Memory Utilization Percent of Processor Utilization						
Language						
Percent New Code						
Mathematics (1)						
String Manipulation (2)						
Store and Retrieve (4)						
Online Communications (6)						
Real Time (8)						
Operating System or Interactive (10)						
User Defined Type (value below)						
Design Difficulty Value for User Defined						
Project Unique ID						
Title						

TABLE 17 Component: 1.4.1 VME Backplane

TABLE 18 Component: 1.4.2 Interlink Module

TABLE 18 Component: 1.4.2 Interlink Module						
COMPONENT	COST	RMA				
Author System User Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number 1.4.2 Abbreviation Component Type Gomponent Sub Type SW, Percent of Processor Utilization Design Source OTS Percent New Design Duplicate - Used in other assemblies Quantity in Next Higher Assembly 2 Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry) 2 Qity Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate 2 Redundancy) Redundancy Mode Redundancy Redunda	Author System User Creation Date 1 July 1995 Modification Date 5 March 1996 Modification Time 9:34:46 pm Number 1.4.2 Abbreviation COST UNIT DOLLARS Purchased Item 3300.0 Development (budgeted) Development (predicted) Development Sensitivity Amortized Unit Production (budgeted) Unit Production (budgeted) Unit Production (budgeted) Unit Production (budgeted) Unit Production (budgeted) Unit Production (budgeted) Unit Production (budgeted)	T				

TABLE 19 Component: 1.5 Chassis

1 ABLE 19 Component: 1.5 Chassis					
COMPONENT		COST		RMA	
Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number	17 October 1996 9:47:06 pm	Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time	5 March 1996	Author Creation Date Modification Date Modification Time Number	5 March 1996 9:36:12 pm
Abbreviation		Number	1.5	Abbreviation	
Component Type Component Sub Type		Abbreviation COST UNIT		Allow RMA Quantity Request	No
SW, Percent of Processor Utilization Design Source	COTS	Purchased Item Development (budgeted)		Availability predicted Reliability predicted	
Percent New Design		Development (predicted)	1200	MTBCF, budgeted (hrs)	
Duplicate - Used in other assemblies Quantity in Next Higher Assembly		Development Sensitivity Amortized Unit Production (budgeted)		MTBCF, predicted (hrs) MTBF, budgeted (hrs)	
Quantity Requested for RMA (automatic entry) Qty Reqd for Operation (Enter Only to Indicate	1	Amortized Unit Production (predicted) Unit Production (budgeted)		Optimized MTBF (hrs) MTBF Optimization Criteria	
Redundancy) Redundancy Mode	1.480	Unit Production (predicted) Total Production Quantity	1200.0 500.0	MTBF, predicted (hrs) Method used for MTBF	100000.0
Length, budgeted (ft) Length, predicted (ft)	1.458	Production (budgeted) Production (predicted)		predicted LRU, Line Replaceable Unit	Yes
Width, budgeted (ft) Width, predicted (ft)		Production Cost Sensitivity Operational (budgeted)		Maintenance Procedure Maintenance Concept	
Depth, budgeted (ft) Depth, predicted (ft)		Operational (predicted) Operational Cost Sensitivity		Requested for Costing Maintenance Concept Used	Replace mods at
Volume Sensitivity		Support (budgeted)			EQP. Scrap bad
Weight, budgeted (lbs) Weight, predicted (lbs)	24.0	Support (predicted) Support Cost Sensitivity		MTTR, line, budgeted (hrs)	
Weight Sensitivity Power(avg), budgeted (watts)	100.0	Title		MTTR, line, predicted (hrs) *	1.0 THESE MTTR
Power(avg), predicted (watts)					VALUES ARE POPULATED BY
Power(max), budgeted (watts) Power(max), predicted (watts)					MSI FOR USE BY
Power Sensitivity Technology Maturity				MTTR LRU ORG (Tf)	PRICE
Technology Type 1 Equipment Type 1				MTTR Module ORG (Tmo) MTTR LRU IL (Ti)	
Percent of Technology and Equipment 1 Technology Type 2	100			MTTR Module IL (Tmi) MTTR LRU Depot (Td)	
Equipment Type 2				MTTR Module Depot (Tmd)	
Percent of Technology and Equipment 2 Technology Type 3				Project Unique ID Title	
Equipment Type 3 Percent of Technology and Equipment 3					
Technology Type 4					
Equipment Type 4 Percent of Technology and Equipment 4					
Technology Type 5 Equipment Type 5					
Percent of Technology and Equipment 5					
SLOC, Source Lines of Code Percent of Memory Utilization					
Percent of Processor Utilization Language					
Percent New Code					
Mathematics (1) String Manipulation (2)					
Store and Retrieve (4) Online Communications (6)					
Real Time (8) Operating System or Interactive (10)					
User Defined Type (value below)					
Design Difficulty Value for User Defined Project Unique ID					
Title					